

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION	
09/758,647	01/10/2001	Wen-Hsiao Peng	42390.P10900	9521
7:	590 02/25/2004		EXAMI	NER
John P. Ward BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			LEE, RICHARD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004	1/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	09/758,647	PENG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Richard Lee	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja	nuary 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25 and 26 is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	•	· ·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	PTO-413)			
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) I) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 09/758,647

Art Unit: 2613

1. The request filed on January 27, 2004 for a Request for Continued Examination is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

2. Newly submitted claim25 and 26 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

The particular features of encoding the source video sequence including generating a plurality of enhancement layer bitstreams, wherein the plurality of enhancement layer bitstreams capture differences between the source video sequence and the base layer bitstream, and wherein generating a base layer bitstream comprises predicting a source video frame of the source video sequence using a previous base layer frame of the base layer bitstream and a previous enhancement layer frame of an enhancement layer bitstream of the plurality of enhancement layer bitstreams as claimed in the method of claim 25, for example, are directed to an invention that is independent and distinct from the invention originally claimed.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 25 and 26 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/758,647

Art Unit: 2613

4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno et al of record (5,436,665) in view of Li of record (US 2002/0080878 A1).

Ueno et al discloses a motion picture coding apparatus as shown in Figures 1, 4, and 5, and substantially the same article comprising a computer-readable medium which stores computer-executable instructions, method, and system as claimed in claims 1-24, comprising substantially the same first unit (102, 29, 103, 30-33, 35 of Figure 1) to generate a first body of data being sufficient to permit generation of a viewable video sequence of lesser quality than is represented by a source video sequence; a second unit (100, 101, 12, 17-24, 27, 104 of Figure 1) to generate a second body of data being sufficient to enhance the quality of the viewable video sequence generated from the first body of data (see column 7, line 42 to column 8, line 38), the second body of data being generated by subtracting a reconstructed body of data (i.e., output of 104 of Figure 1) from a subsection of the source video sequence (i.e., output of 101 of Figure 1), wherein the reconstructed body of data is selected from a group of at least two separate reconstructed bodies of data (see Figure 5 and column 10, line 51 to column 11, line 40), wherein the group of at least two separate bodies of data is selected from a reconstructed first body of data (i.e., 132 of Figure 5) sufficient to permit generation of the viewable video sequence of lesser quality than is represented by the source video sequence, a reconstructed second body of data (i.e., 134 of Figure 5) sufficient to enhance the quality of the viewable video sequence generated from the first body of data, or a combination (i.e., 132-133, 140 of Figure 5, and see column 11, lines 30-40) of the reconstructed first and second bodies of data; the second body of data is generated by subtracting a reconstructed body of data (i.e., output from 104 of Figure 1) from a macroblock of the source video sequence (i.e., output of 101 of Figure 1, and see column

Application/Control Number: 09/758,647

Art Unit: 2613

9, lines 38-53); wherein the second unit compares the at least two separate reconstructed bodies of data to the source video sequence to adaptively selected from the reconstructed first body of data, the reconstructed second body of data, or the combination of the reconstructed first and second bodies of data, wherein the selection of the reconstructed body of data is indicated in a syntax of a bit-stream transmitted from the system (see column 9, lines 38-53, column 10, line 51 to column 11, line 40); wherein a first set of motion vectors are used by the first unit to generate the first body of data and the first set of motion vectors are used by the second unit to generate the second body of data (see Figure 5); and the first unit and the second unit are included on a single hardware component (see Figure 1).

Ueno et al does not particularly disclose, though, the followings:

- (a) wherein the second body of data includes one or more enhancement layers that capture differences between the viewable video sequence and the source video sequence as claimed in claims 1, 9, and 17; and
- (b) prior to generating the second body of data generated by subtracting the reconstructed body of data from the subsection of the source video sequence, spatially reconstruct and clip the reconstructed first body of data, and spatially reconstruct and clip the reconstructed second body of data as claimed in claims 3, 11, and 19.

Regarding (a), it is noted that Ueno et al does teach that the second body includes a high resolution signal (see column 8, line 59 to column 9, line 8) that captures differences between the viewable video sequence and the source video sequence (i.e., differences between the (a) low resolution picture, high resolution picture, and intra-frame prediction picture derived from the predictor and prediction mode decision unit 104, and (b) the input signal are compared to select a



Art Unit: 2613

prediction mode, with the low resolution picture representing the viewable video sequence being and the input signal representing the source video sequence as claimed, see column 8, line 59 to column 9, line 8). But Ueno et al does not particularly teach that the second body includes one or more enhancement layers as claimed. It is however considered obvious that the high resolution signal generated by the second body of Ueno et al is equivalent to the one or more enhancement layers as claimed. In any event, Li discloses a video apparatus and method for digital video enhancement as shown in Figure 1, and teaches the conventional enhancement layer generations (see enhancement layer of Figure 1, and page 1, section [0008]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the Ueno et al and Li references in front of him/her and the general knowledge of base and enhancement layer codings within MPEG video coders, would have had no difficulty in providing the enhancement layer coding as taught by Li for the system as shown in Figure 1 of Ueno et al if the high resolution signal of Ueno et al is not already equivalent to the enhancement layer for the same well known different layer coding purposes as claimed.

Regarding (b), Li teaches the conventional clipping of reconstructed bodies of data (see 135 of Figure 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the Ueno et al and Li references in front of him/her and the general knowledge of video compression processings, would have had no difficulty in providing the clipping function as taught by Li for the first and second body of data within Figure 1 of Ueno et al for the same well known adjustment of the video to prevent invalid video data purposes as claimed.

5. The applicants' arguments from the amendment filed January 27, 2004 have been noted, considered, and addressed in the above new grounds of rejection.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/758,647

Art Unit: 2613

Any response to this action should be mailed to: 6.

> Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7. examiner should be directed to Richard Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-6612. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m, with alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Richard Lee/rl

2/19/04